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Context—Then and Now

Then

• NCTM Standards (1989) and AAAS and NRC (Science)
• Standards state-by-state
• Beginning of NCLB
• Disaggregation of data
• Nascent technology applications, graphing calculators
• Early internet, minimal access in schools
• Increasingly mobile student and teacher populations

Now

• Common Core State Standards, 45 states
• New designs for ESEA needed
• Wireless networking and Cloud Computing (shared services model)
• Social Networking everywhere
• Data-intensive empirical research changing the way science is done, and different demands on quantitative modeling and literacy.
• Analytics
• Knowledge Intensive Industries and STEM
• Increasingly mobile student and teacher populations
• Increasing gaps associated with race and poverty
PERCENTAGE OF WORKFORCE BY EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
1973 THROUGH 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Master’s Degree or Better</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degree</th>
<th>Associate’s Degree</th>
<th>Some College, No Degree</th>
<th>High School Graduates</th>
<th>High School Dropouts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce, 2010.
Brief History of CCSS Development

- July 2009: The development of the College and Career Ready Standards draft, outlining topic areas
- October 2009: Public release of the College and Career Ready Standards
- January 2010: Public release of Draft 1 to states
- March 2010: Public release of Draft 2
- June 2, 2010: Final release of Common Core State Standards with approval of the Validation Committee

(Note: These are NOT federal standards: they are a state-level coordinated effort led by National Governors Association-NGA and the Council of Chief State School Officers-CCSSO.)
As of June 2012, 45 states and D.C. had adopted CCSS

Criteria for the Standards

- Fewer, clearer, and higher standards
- Aligned with college and work expectations
- Included rigorous content and application of knowledge through high-order skills
- Built upon strengths and lessons of current state standards
- Internationally benchmarked, so that all students are prepared to succeed in our global economy and society
- Based on evidence and research

CCSSI 2010; www.corestandards.org
Comparison of CCSS-M with Composite Profile of the Top-Achieving Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number Meaning</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number Operations</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties of Whole Numbers Operations</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractions</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Units</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygons &amp; Circles</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Representation &amp; Analysis</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Geometry</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Estimation &amp; Errors</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Theory</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-D Geometry Basics</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rounding &amp; Significant Figures</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation of Decimals &amp; Fractions</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimating Computations</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter, Area &amp; Volume</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equations &amp; Formulas</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decimals</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterns, Relations &amp; Functions</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric Transformations</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties of Decimals &amp; Fractions</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orders of Magnitude</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-D Coordinate Geometry</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponents, Roots &amp; Radicals</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Numbers, Integers &amp; Their Properties</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportionality Concepts</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportionality Problems</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rational Numbers &amp; Their Properties</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructions Using Straightedge &amp; Compass</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic Counting</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty &amp; Probability</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Numbers &amp; Their Properties</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congruence &amp; Similarity</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation &amp; Justification</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimating Quality &amp; Sex</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intended in the Simulated Possible A+ Set of Standards Defining Complete Topic Coverage Topic Intended in CCSSM

W. Schmidt, 2012, Michigan State University
This is NOT Business-as-Usual

1. More demanding standards and new topics
2. New assessments measuring more complex reasoning
3. Urgency to address performance gaps
4. Expanded data and new technologies transform instruction
Significance of Common Core

- Internationally benchmarked
- Structured for learning trajectories
- Supports student mobility from state-to-state
- Produces economies of scale
- Targets equity and customization
- Prepares for digital learning with real-time data
Necessary but NOT sufficient

• Cross Walks:
  • Necessary:  Tell you what is new or different,
  • But **not sufficient**:  Need to know *how areas and strands are restructured*

• Standard by standard attention:
  • Necessary:  To understand the standards, indicate central content
  • But **not sufficient**:  Does not emphasize *how concepts develop over time*
1. More demanding standards and new topics

A. Eight Mathematical Practices

B. Changes in Content and Grade Expectations (K-5, 6-8, 9-12): Earlier (or Later), and More Demanding

C. Structure and Learning Trajectories/Progressions

D. Interdisciplinary Content: Reading and Writing in Science and Technical Subjects

1. Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.

2. Reason abstractly and quantitatively.

3. Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

4. Model with mathematics.

5. Use appropriate tools strategically.

6. Attend to precision.

7. Look for and make use of structure.

8. Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.
A. Eight Mathematical Practices

- Practices are always interrelated with content. They must be addressed in tandem.

- Practices may provide a way to observe classrooms to see how the content is made understandable, challenging and engaging to students.
### B. Changes in Content and Grade Expectations

#### K-5 Domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>Grade Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counting and Cardinality</td>
<td>K only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Algebraic Thinking</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number and Operations in Base Ten</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number and Operations--Fractions</td>
<td>3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement and Data</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## B. Changes: Middle Grades Domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>Grade Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio and Proportional Relationships</td>
<td>6-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Number System</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressions and Equations</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functions</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics and Probability</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Changes: High School Conceptual Categories and Domains

- **NUMBER AND QUANTITY**
  - The Real Number System
  - Quantities
  - The Complex Number System
  - Vector and Matrix Quantities

- **ALGEBRA**
  - Seeing Structure in Expressions
  - Arithmetic with Polynomials and Rational Expressions
  - Creating Equations
  - Reasoning with Equations and Inequalities
B. Changes: High School Conceptual Categories and Domains

- **FUNCTIONS OVERVIEW**
  - Interpreting Functions
  - Building Functions
  - Linear, Quadratic and Exponential Models
  - Trigonometric Functions

- **MODELING**
B. Changes: High School Conceptual Categories and Domains

• GEOMETRY
  • Congruence
  • Similarity, Right Triangles and Trigonometry
  • Circles
  • Expressing Geometric Properties with Equations
  • Geometric Measurement and Dimension
  • Modeling with Geometry

• STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY
  • Interpreting Categorical and Quantitative Data
  • Making Inferences and Justifying Conclusions
  • Conditional Probability and the Rules of Probability
  • Using Probability to Make Decisions
B. Changes by Grade Bands: grades K-5

- Numeration and operation intensified, and introduced earlier
  - Early place value foundations in grade K
  - Regrouping as composing / decomposing in grade 2
  - Decimals to hundredths in grade 4
- All three types of measurement simultaneously
  - Non-standard, English and Metric
- Emphasis on fractions as numbers
- Emphasis on number line as visualization / structure
B. Changes by Grade Bands: grades 6-8

• Ratio and Proportion focused on in grade 6
  • Ratio, unit rates, converting measurement, tables of values, graphing, missing value problems
• Percents introduced in grade 6
• Statistics introduced in grade 6
  • Statistical variability (measures of central tendency, distributions, interquartile range, mean and absolute deviation, data shape)
• Rational numbers in grade 7
• Grade 8: One-third of high-school algebra for all students
B. Changes by Grade Bands: grades 6-8

- Now: much higher expectations at middle grades, where, collectively, our capacity, and our student performance, are weakest.

- Also--Much more pressure on elementary school teachers to “get the job done.”
B. Changes by Grade Bands: grades 9-12

- Supports *both/either* (a) continuing an integrated approach or (b) a traditional siloed approach (Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra II)--or new models that synthesize these two.

- All students must master some topics traditionally from algebra 2, or beyond
  - Simple periodic functions
  - Polynomials,
  - Radicals
  - More probability and statistics (correlation, random processes)
  - Introduction to mathematical modeling
“One promise of common state standards is that over time, they will allow research on learning progressions to inform and improve the design of Standards to a much greater extent than is possible today.”

CCSS 2010, p.5
C. Learning Trajectory within a Conceptual Corridor

Confrey (2006) Design Studies Chapter from the Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences
C. A learning trajectory/progression is:

...a researcher-conjectured, empirically-supported description of the ordered network of constructs a student encounters through instruction (i.e. activities, tasks, tools, forms of interaction and methods of evaluation), in order to move from informal ideas, through successive refinements of representation, articulation, and reflection, towards increasingly complex concepts over time

(Confrey et al., 2009)
C. Value of Learning Trajectories to Teachers

- Know what to expect about students’ preparation
- Know what teachers in the next grade expect of your students.
- More readily manage the range of preparation and needs of students in your class
- Identify clusters of related concepts at grade level
- Support student thinking and discourse to focus on conceptual development
- Engage in rich uses of classroom assessment
C. Learning Trajectories as Boundary Objects

Teacher’s Math Knowledge

Examination of Curricular Materials

Selection of Instructional Tasks

Fostering Discourse

Formative Assessment Practices

Interactive Diagnostic Assessment System

Professional Development

Classroom Assessment Monitoring

Formative Diagnostic

Instructional Practices

Stakeholder Assesement

Instructional Guidance and Improvement

(Confrey and Maloney, 2010)
C. Structure

• [1.] Grade

• [2.] Domains: larger groups of related standards. Standards from different domains may sometimes be closely related

• [3.] Clusters of groups of related standards. Note that standards from different clusters may sometimes be closely related, because mathematics is a connected subject.

• [4.] Individual standards that define what students should understand and be able to do.

CCSSO, 2010
Design and Organization

- **Content standards** define what students should understand and be able to do.
- **Clusters** are groups of related standards.
- **Domains** are larger groups that progress across grades.
- **Fewer, clearer, higher...**

**Number and Operations in Base Ten**

3.NBT

Use place value understanding and properties of operations to perform multi-digit arithmetic.

1. Use place value understanding to round whole numbers to the nearest 10 or 100.

2. Fluently add and subtract within 1000 using strategies and algorithms based on place value, properties of operations, and/or the relationship between addition and subtraction.

3. Multiply one-digit whole numbers by multiples of 10 in the range 10-90 (e.g., 9 × 80, 5 × 60) using strategies based on place value and properties of operations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Strand</th>
<th>Kindergarten</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place Value and Decimals</strong></td>
<td>Compose and decompose numbers from 11 to 19 into ten ones and some further ones, e.g., by using objects or drawings, and record each composition or decomposition by a drawing or equation (e.g., 18 = 10 + 8); understand that these numbers are composed of ten ones and one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, or nine ones. [K.NBT.1]</td>
<td>Understand that the two digits of a two-digit number represent amounts of tens and ones. Understand the following as special cases: a. 10 can be thought of as a bundle of ten ones — called a &quot;ten.&quot; b. The numbers from 11 to 19 are composed of a ten and one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, or nine ones. c. The numbers 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 refer to one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, or nine tens (and 0 ones). [1.NBT.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[K.NBT.1]</td>
<td>[1.NBT.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Given a two-digit number, mentally find 10 more or 10 less than the number, without having to count; explain the reasoning used. [1.NBT.5]</td>
<td>Make a ten (when adding two-digit numbers) or a hundred (when adding three-digit numbers). [1.NBT.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1.NBT.5]</td>
<td>[1.NBT.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compare two two-digit numbers based on meanings of the tens and ones digits, recording the results of comparisons with the symbols &gt;, =, and &lt;. [1.NBT.3]</td>
<td>Compare the numbers 100 to 999 using &lt;, =, and &gt;. [1.NBT.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1.NBT.3]</td>
<td>[1.NBT.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtract multiples of 10 in the range 10-90 from multiples of 10 in the range 10-90 (positive or zero differences), using concrete models or drawings and strategies based on place value, properties of operations, and/or the relationship between addition and subtraction; relate the strategy to a written method and explain the reasoning used. [1.NBT.6]</td>
<td>Add and subtract within 20, demonstrating fluency for addition and subtraction within 10. [1.OA.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1.NBT.6]</td>
<td>[1.OA.6]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Learning Trajectory Display

**Common Core State Standards for Mathematics**

#### Quantity, Measurement, And Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>Item 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Numeration, Operations, and Algebraic Thinking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>Item 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>Item 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Common Core Standards represent an opportunity and a challenge. They are a legacy to young teachers.

New assessments will test more complex reasoning.

Middle grades will be critical to student success.

Elementary grades instruction critical to middle grades preparation and success.

Implementation must consider naming, phasing, sequencing, obligatory and ongoing professional development, and public relations.

Specificity of standards should increase equity, if students are provided adequate opportunities to learn.

Central hosting, technology services models, and diversity of content offers enormous opportunities and pitfalls.
Part 2: Digging In with Learning Trajectories

Confrey (2006) Design Studies Chapter
Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences
Complementary Approaches to Unpacking the CCSS-M

- Go to: www.turnoncccmath.net
Hexagon map of K-8 Common Core Math Standards With Learning Trajectories Identified

© J. Confrey 2011
Hexagon map © Wireless Generation 2011
List of 18 K-8 Learning Trajectories

- Counting
- Place Value and Decimals
- Addition and Subtraction
- Equipartitioning
- Time and Money
- Length, Area and Volume
- Fractions
- Multiplication and Division
- Ratio and Proportion, and Percent
List of 18 K-8 Learning Trajectories

- Shapes and Angles
- Triangles and Transformations
- Elementary Data and Modeling
- Variation, Distribution and Modeling
- Chance and Probability
- Integers, Number lines, and Coordinate Planes
- Rational and Irrational Numbers
- Early Equations and Expressions
- Linear and Simultaneous Functions
Complementary Approaches to Unpacking the CCSS-M

• Go to: www.turnonccmath.net

1. Student strategies, representations, and misconceptions

2. Underlying cognitive and conceptual principles

3. Mathematical distinctions and multiple models

4. Coherent structure

5. Bridging standards
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCSS-M Description</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| K.MD.B Indirectly compare two objects by representing the attribute with, for example, another object and then directly comparing. | This Bridging Standard is introduced here to describe how students’ learning of measurements emerges. At the heart of the measurement learning trajectory is the movement from identifying attributes, to representing attributes, directly and indirectly comparing attributes, and finally unitizing attributes using constructed units and wisely choosing common units. [...]
| 1.MD.1 Order three objects by length; compare the lengths of two objects indirectly by using a third object. | When two fixed objects cannot be placed adjacently for direct comparison, a third object can be used for indirect comparison. If the third object is longer than the other two, the lengths of the other two can be marked on the third object and be compared. If the length of the third object falls between the other two, then ordering is established. If the third object is shorter than the other two, students would need to develop a way to begin a measurement process (see the Standard 1.MD.2 later). Note that this standard is slightly more advanced than the earlier Bridging Standard K.MD.A in which, for example, strips were created to represent the lengths of two objects. In this standard only one mediating object is used for comparison. |
• End